SHERMAN LAW, PLLC

1	SHLOMO S. SHERMAN Nevada Bar No. 0096
2	SHERMAN LAW, PLL
3	2620 Regatta Drive, S Las Vegas, Nevada 89
4	Telephone: (702) 900 Facsimile: (702) 714- E-Mail: shlomo@s
5	
6	Kenneth M. Motoleni upon receipt of reques
7	sent April 13, 2022]) Of Counsel to Weiss MotoSalas Law, PLL
8	16210 North 63 rd Stre Scottsdale, Arizona 8
9	Telephone: (202) 257 E-mail: ken@moto
10	
11	Attorneys for Defenda Recover Innovations,
12	
13	
14	
15	CAA Industries, Ltd.,
16	Р
17	v.
18	Recover Innovations,
19	Tactical,
20	Defend
21	
22	I. <u>Introd</u>
23	This is a con
24	Preliminary Injunction

25

26

27

28

1	Shlomo S. Sherman, Esq.
	Nevada Bar No. 009688
2 l	SHERMAN LAW, PLLC
	2620 Regatta Drive, Suite 102
3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89128
Ĭ	Telephone: (702) 900-2786
4 l	Facsimile: (702) 714-0971
۱.	E-Mail: shlomo@shermanlawlv.com
5	L Man. Smomowyshermania wrv.com
٦	Kenneth M. Motolenich-Salas (AZ Bar No. 027499, pro hac vice application to be submitted
6	upon receipt of requested Certificate of Good Standing from Arizona Supreme Court [request
۲I	sent April 13, 2022])
7 l	Of Counsel to Weiss and Moy, P.C.
΄	MotoSalas Law, PLLC
₈	16210 North 63 rd Street
۱ ٥	Scottsdale, Arizona 85254
9	Telephone: (202) 257-3720
"	
0	E-mail: ken@motosalaslaw.com
۷Į	Attamazza fan Dafan dant
,	Attorneys for Defendant
1	Recover Innovations, Inc. d/b/a Recover Tactical
ر ۱	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Plaintiff,	
V.	
Recover Innovations, Inc. d/b/a Recover Tactical,	•
Defendant	

Case No. 2:22-cv-00581

CONSENT MOTION TO EXTEND TACTICAL'S RESPONSE MOTION FOR PRELIMIN REPLY TO DEENDANT'S RESPONSE

(FIRST REQUEST)

I. **Introduction**

This is a consent motion to extend the briefing schedule relating to the Motion for Preliminary Injunction filed by Plaintiff CAA Industries, Ltd. ("Plaintiff") on April 6, 2022 (Doc. 2), and served upon Defendant Recover Innovations, Inc. d/b/a Recover Tactical ("Defendant") on April 8, 2022 (the "Motion"). Pursuant to LR IA 6-1, both Plaintiff and Defendant, without waiver of any of its defenses under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b); by and through their respective undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:

Consent Motion to Extend Time to File Response to Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Reply to Said Response

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	2022 ; and
	judicial days, amounting to six (6) calendar days, from April 22, 2022 to April 28,
A.	The deadline for Defendant's Response to the Motion shall be extended four (4)

В. Plaintiff's reply to Defendant's response shall be extended five (5) court business days, amounting to seven (7) calendar days, from May 5, 2022 to May 12, 2022.

This is the first motion relating to this extension.

II. **Defendant's Consented Request**

With respect to (A) above, Defendant states the following, which Defendant submits amounts to extenuating circumstances justifying the short extension of time.

- On April 8, 2022, Defendant was served with, *inter alia*, the Complaint (Doc. 1), along with the aforementioned Motion.
- 2. Pursuant to LR 7-2(b), Defendant's response is due fourteen (14) days after service of the Motion, or April 22, 2022.
- 3. Defendant's primary counsel, Kenneth Motolenich-Salas, has pre-planned travel to Palo Alto, California, via San Jose, California, from April 22-24, as evidenced by the airline confirmation attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- Defendant's primary points of contact, Ron Rosenberg (CEO) and Daniel Klein (patent agent), are both based in Israel, which is ten (10) hours later than Nevada and Arizona, complicating communications between Defendant's counsel and Defendant in that a response by one of them to an email received by the other usually occurs overnight given the very little overlap in the working day in Israel with that in Arizona and Nevada. This time zone-caused delay in communications is significant since Plaintiff's motion exceeds 170 pages, requiring extensive communication between Defendant and Defendant's counsel since the former has extensive knowledge of the (i) accused device and the U.S. patent which covers it (U.S. Patent No. 10,782,081) and (ii) differences between the asserted patent (U.S. Patent No. 8,312,803) and the accused device which must crucially be imparted to the latter in order to formulate Defendant's response to the motion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

6. In light of the foregoing, Defendant, by and through undersigned counsel, sent an email to Plaintiff's counsel on April 14, 2022 requesting an extension of time to respond to the Complaint. Plaintiff consented to the requested extension, provided that Plaintiff is provided with a corresponding extension of time for its reply for the reasons set forth below, to which Defendant consents.

III. Plaintiff's Consented Request

Plaintiff's counsel wishes to accommodate Defendant's request without prejudicing any of his other clients' interests. If Defendant's request is granted, Plaintiff's reply will be due on May 5, 2022, which interferes with Plaintiff's counsel's preparation for a hearing on multiple (9) motions for summary judgment in another case, *Penn Engineering v. Peninsula Components*, 19-cv-00513 (E.D.P.A.), scheduled for Monday, May 9, 2022. Therefore, Plaintiff consents to Defendant's extension request, provided that Plaintiff's deadline for replying to Defendant's response is extended until May 12, 2022, to which Defendant consented on April 15, 2022.

IV. Summary

WHEREFORE, in light of the foregoing extenuating circumstances which provide good cause for the requested extension (*see, e.g., Seibel v. GR U.S. Licensing, LP*, 2:21-cv-00668-JCM-VCF, at *1 (D. Nev. Sep. 16, 2021) ("Good cause exists to extend the briefing schedule on GRUS's Motion to Dismiss as set forth above *to accommodate scheduling conflicts of Seibel's counsel*

28

SHERMAN LAW, PLLC

(including, but not limited to, religious holidays).")) (emphasis added),1 the parties respectfully		
request this Court to extend the deadline for (A) Defendant to respond to the Motion to and through		
April 28, 2022 and (B) Plaintiff to reply to Defendant's response to and through May 12, 2022.		
Each party consents to the other party's requested extension. A proposed form of Order is filed		
concurrently herein.		
DATED this 18th day of April, 2022.		
SHERMAN LAW, PLLC DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC		

SHLOMO S. SHERMAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 009688 2620 Regatta Drive, Suite 102 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128

and

KENNETH M. MOTOLENICH-SALAS AZ Bar No. 027499 Of Counsel to Weiss and Moy, P.C. MotoSalas Law, PLLC 16210 North 63rd Street Scottsdale, Arizona 85254

Attorneys for Defendant Recover Innovations, Inc. d/b/a Recover Tactical By: /s/ Joe Konieczny Sr. JOHN L. KRIEGER, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 6023 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 800 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169-0965

and

RYDER, MAZZEO & KONIECZNY LLC JOSEPH M. KONIECTZNY, SR. (Pro Hac Vice to be submittetd) P.O. Box 670 Plymouth Meeting, PA 19462

Attorneys for Plaintiff CAA Industries, Ltd.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

DATED: April 19, 2022

¹ Attached as **Exhibit 3**.